

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,515	08/20/2003	Jonathan D. Beard	TUC920030115US1 6578 (16874)	
	7590 07/26/200 OTT, MURPHY, & PRI	EXAMINER		
400 GARDEN	CITY PL	GYORFI, THOMAS A		
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	07/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/644,515	BEARD ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Tom Gyorfi	2135

	Tom Gyorfi	2135	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 106.07(f).	ng date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NC	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:			
U.S.			
		44.	

In response to Applicant's argument that the Guo reference does not disclose encrypting login information at a client machine and transmitting the encrypted login information to the user machine, it is observed that Guo discloses using "cookies" as part of the authentication process. Cookies, as are typically understood in the art, are blocks of data that a server ["client machine" in the parlance of the instant application] returns to a client ["user machine"], typically containing user identification information (see the enclosed Microsoft Computing Dictionary, Fifth Edition, page 129, regarding cookies). Indeed, Guo explicitly discloses wherein the cookies, which would be placed on a user machine by a client machine through techniques generally well understood in the art, are used to determine if the user has previously authenticated oneself to the system; if a cookie is not present, then the authentication process begins anew (paragraph 0047). It is additionally noted once again that all communications among the various parties of the Guo invention, which would include the various tickets and cookies, are encrypted, whether by symmetric encryption as found in SSL or as part of a digital signature employing asymmetric encryption techniques (cf. Final Office Action of 4/19/07, pages 2-3, at paragraph #4).

VIM VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100